



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,307	06/24/2003	Kenneth M. Rose	CIS0199US	9007
33031	7590	08/07/2007	EXAMINER	
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP			LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG	
11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE				
BLDG. H, SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78758			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/602,307	ROSE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Andrew C. Lee	2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6,9-20 and 23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6,9-20 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. Claims 1 – 6, 9 – 20, 23 are pending.
2. Claims 7, 8, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 had been canceled.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 – 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulwels (US 20010030974 A1) in view of Johnson (US 6834315 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Pauwels teaches the limitation of a method comprising:  
transmitting a first data stream to a switch fabric, said first data stream having a first priority (“traffic from lower priority classified queues” correlates to transmitting a first data stream to a switch fabric, said first data stream having a first priority; Page 1, paragraph [0013]); and at any time during said transmission, interrupting said transmission of said first data stream (“traffic has arrived at a queue having a higher priority classification than the queue from which traffic is currently being transmitted, suspend the current transmission” correlates to interrupting said transmission of said first data stream) to transmit a second data stream to said switch fabric, said second data stream having a second priority (“traffic has arrived at a

queue having a higher priority classification" correlates to second data stream having a second priority; Page 1, paragraph [0013]);

Pauwels does not disclose explicitly interrupting said transmission of said second data stream to resume transmission of said first data stream to the switching fabric.

Johnson teaches interrupting said transmission of said second data stream to resume transmission of said first data stream to the switching fabric (column 4, lines 61 – 67, column 5, lines 1 – 10, Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Pauwels to include interrupting said transmission of said second data stream to resume transmission of said first data stream to the switching fabric as taught by Johnson in order to provide a technique for managing the flow of I/O requests to a device driver to ensure that I/O requests associated with a higher priority application or storage space receive preference in processing at the device driver over I/O requests associated with a lower priority (as suggested by Johnson, see column 2, lines 13 – 18).

Regarding claim 2, Pauwels teaches the method of claimed further comprising: resuming transmission of said first data stream even through there is data of the second data stream to transmit to the switching fabric ("once the interrupting transmission has completed, the transmission of the unfinished cell or packet can be immediately resumed from the point at which it was interrupted" correlates to resuming transmission of said first data stream even through there is data of the second data stream to transmit to the

switching fabric; page 2, paragraph [0014], page 3, paragraphs [0050], [0051]) .

Regarding claim 3, Pauwels teaches the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising stopping said transmission of said first data stream; transmitting a first switch code; and transmitting said second data stream (page 3, paragraph [0050], page 4, paragraph [0054]).

Regarding claim 4, Pauwels teaches the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising: transmitting a second switch code; and resuming transmission of said first data stream (page 3, paragraph [0051], page 4, paragraph [0054]).

Regarding claim 5, Pauwels teaches the limitation of the method of claimed wherein said first priority is a low priority (“traffic from lower priority classified queues” correlates to said first priority is a low priority); and said second priority is a high priority (traffic has arrived at a queue having a higher priority classification”; page 1, paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 6, Pauwels teaches the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising: stopping transmission of a frame of said first data stream after detection of a start of frame and prior to detection of an end of frame (page 3, paragraph [0053]).

5. Claims 1 – 6, 9 – 18, 20, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellis et al. (5497371) in view of Johnson (US 6834315 B2).

Regarding claims 1, 13, 23, Ellis et al. teach a method, an apparatus comprising: a first buffer (Fig. 2, element 28, low priority Buffer correlates to a first buffer) configured to store data of a first data stream prior to transmission to a switching fabric, said data of said first data stream having a first priority ("to store the packeted data to be transmitted, and low priority" correlates to configured to store data of a first data stream prior to transmission to a switching fabric, and a first priority; Fig. 2, column 4, lines 36 – 52); a second buffer (Fig 2, element 26, high priority buffer correlates to a second buffer) configured to store data of a second data stream prior to transmission to a switching fabric, said data of said second data stream having a second priority ("high priority" correlates to a second priority; Fig. 2, column 4, lines 36 – 52); a priority switch circuit (Fig. 2, element 30 priority encoder correlates to a priority switch circuit) coupled to said first buffer and said second buffer, wherein said priority switch circuit is configured to upon detection of data of said second data stream, interrupt a transmission of data of said first data stream from the first buffer at any time during said transmission and transmit data of said second data stream from the second buffer (Fig 2, column 4, lines 40 – 66).

Ellis et al. do not disclose explicitly wherein said priority switch circuit is further configured to interrupt said transmission of said second data stream from the second buffer to resume transmission of said first data stream from the first buffer.

Johnson teaches wherein said priority switch circuit is further configured to interrupt said transmission of said second data stream from the second buffer to resume

transmission of said first data stream from the first buffer (column 4, lines 61 – 67, column 5, lines 1 – 10, Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis et al. to include wherein said priority switch circuit is further configured to interrupt said transmission of said second data stream from the second buffer to resume transmission of said first data stream from the first buffer as taught by Johnson in order to provide a technique for managing the flow of I/O requests to a device driver to ensure that I/O requests associated with a higher priority application or storage space receive preference in processing at the device driver over I/O requests associated with a lower priority (as suggested by Johnson, see column 2, lines 13 – 18).

Regarding claim 2, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising: resuming transmission of said first data stream even through there is data of the second data stream to transmit to the switching fabric ("it is possible for a low priority packet to be interrupted as often as required and to be fragmented to any size, depending on the arrival of high priority packets at the transmit queue" correlates to resuming transmission of said first data stream even through there is data of the second data stream to transmit to the switching fabric; column 4, lines 61 – 65).

Regarding claim 3, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method, apparatus of claimed further comprising stopping said transmission of said first data stream; transmitting a first switch code; and transmitting said second data stream (Fig. 3, column 5, lines 10 –

20).

Regarding claim 4, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising: transmitting a second switch code; and resuming transmission of said first data stream (Fig. 3, column 4, lines 44 – 48, lines 61 – 63)

Regarding claim 5, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method of claimed wherein said first priority is a low priority (“low priority” correlates to said first priority is a low priority); and said second priority is a high priority (“high priority” correlates to said second priority is a high priority; column 4, lines 38 – 44).

Regarding claim 6, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method, apparatus of claimed further comprising: stopping transmission of a frame of said first data stream after detection of a start of frame and prior to detection of an end of frame (column 4, lines 41 – 48).

Regarding claim 9, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising: storing data of said first data stream in a first FIFO (Fig. 2, element 28 low priority buffer and queue; column 4, lines 38 – 44); and storing data of said second data stream in a second FIFO (Fig. 2, element 26 high priority buffer and queue; column 4, lines 38 – 44).

Regarding claim 10, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method of claimed wherein said interrupting of transmission of the first data stream comprises: upon detection of data in said second FIFO, interrupting said first data stream (column 4, lines 41 – 44).

Regarding claim 11, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the method of claimed further comprising: receiving a data stream at a line card (column 3, lines 51 – 54), said data stream comprising frames of said first data stream and frames of said second data stream; and detecting the priority of said frames of said data stream (column 3, lines 54 – 63).

Regarding claims 12, Ellis et al. do not teach the method of claimed wherein transmission of the second data stream is interrupted to transmission a predetermined amount of bytes of data of said first data stream.

Johnson teaches wherein transmission of the second data stream is interrupted to transmission a predetermined amount of bytes of data of said first data stream.  
(column 4, lines 61 – 67, column 5, lines 1 – 10, Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis et al. to include wherein transmission of the second data stream is interrupted to transmission a predetermined amount of bytes of data of said first data stream as taught by Johnson in order to provide a technique for managing the flow of I/O requests to a device driver to ensure that I/O requests associated with a higher priority application or storage space receive preference in processing at the device driver over I/O

requests associated with a lower priority (as suggested by Johnson, see column 2, lines 13 – 18).

Regarding claims 14, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the apparatus of claimed wherein said priority switch circuit (Fig. 2, element 30 priority encoder correlates to a priority switch circuit) is further configured to resume transmission of said first data stream if there is no data of said second data stream to transmit (column 4, lines 40 – 52, lines 61 – 63).

Regarding claim 15, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the apparatus of claimed wherein said priority switch circuit (Fig. 2, element 30 priority encoder correlates to a priority switch circuit) is further configured to transmit a first switch code after the second buffer has transmitted data of said second data stream and prior to resuming the transmission of data of said first data stream (Fig. 2, element 30 priority encoder correlates to a priority switch circuit, column 4, lines 44 – 52).

Regarding claim 16, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the apparatus of claimed wherein said priority switch circuit (Fig. 2, element 30 priority encoder correlates to a priority switch circuit) is configured to transmit a second switch code upon detection of data of said second data stream in the second buffer (Fig. 2, element 30 priority encoder correlates to a priority switch circuit, column 4, lines 44 – 52).

Regarding claim 17, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the apparatus of claimed wherein said priority switch circuit is further configured to interrupt transmission of said first data stream during transmission of a packet of said first data stream from said first buffer (Fig. 3, column 5, lines 10 – 20).

Regarding claim 18, Ellis et al. teach the apparatus of claimed wherein said priority switch circuit is further configured to transmit a predetermined amount of bytes from said first buffer when the priority switch circuit resumes transmission of the first data stream (column 4, lines 63 – 66).

Regarding claim 20, Ellis et al. teach the limitation of the apparatus of claimed further comprising: a serial link (Fig. 2) configured to serialize data received from said first and said second buffers and said priority switch circuit and transmit said serialized data to a switching fabric (serially transmitting in packets of various sizes digital data of two or more priorities over a link correlates to a serial link configured to serialize data received from said first and said second buffers; column 3, lines 51 – 54).

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (5497371) and Johnson (US 6834315 B2) as applied to claims 1 – 6, 9 – 18, 20, 23 above, and further in view of Hebb et al. (US 6463067 B1).

Regarding claim 19, Ellis et al. and Johnson fail to teach the apparatus of claimed further comprising: a port coupleable to a network device; and a forwarding engine coupled between said port and each of said first and second buffers, said forwarding engine configured to forward frames of said first data stream to said first buffer and forward second frames of said second data stream to said second buffer.

Hebb et al. teach the apparatus of claimed further comprising: a port coupleable to a network device (Fig. 1, "line interface" correlates to a port, "network segment" correlates to a network device; column 3, lines 23 – 27); and a forwarding engine coupled between said port (Fig. 2, elements 20, PHY I/O and elements 22 forwarding engine) and each of said first and second buffers (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig.4, elements 64), said forwarding engine configured to forward frames of said first data stream to said first buffer and forward second frames of said second data stream to said second buffer ("column 4, lines 22 – 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis et al. and Johnson to include a port coupleable to a network device; and a forwarding engine coupled between said port and each of said first and second buffers, said forwarding engine configured to forward frames of said first data stream to said first buffer and forward second frames of said second data stream to said second buffer as taught by Hebb et al. in order to provide high-speed forwarding searching along with packet classification for packet filtering purposes (Ellis et al., see column 2, lines 23 – 25).

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Kadambi et al. (6952401 B1) disclose a method for load balancing in a link aggregation environment, wherein the method includes the steps of determining if a packet flow in a network switch exceeds a predetermined threshold.
- Barroso et al. (6636949 B2) disclose in a chip multiprocessor system, the coherence protocol is split into two cooperating protocols implemented by different hardware modules. One protocol is responsible for cache coherence management within the chip, and is implemented by a second-level cache controller.
- Youngblood (4980820) discloses an interrupt driven digital processing system is disclosed including routines for servicing interrupt requests received from a plurality of interrupt sources.
- Bruckman (6891855) discloses a method and apparatus for transmitting data over a channel having a variable transmission rate.
- Fichou et al. (5790522) disclose traffic congestion control is provided for a network node multiport switch capable of switching data packets of different priorities from input lines, via receive adapters, to output lines via transmit adapters.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan D. Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew C. Lee/ :: <8/2/2007>

EDAN ORGAD  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

*Edan Orgad 8/6/07*